

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/611,682	07/01/2003	Gloria Falla	PL083USQ	5335	
75	590 10/14/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	. J. RUGGIERO, ESQ.	HALE, GL	HALE, GLORIA M		
•	REELEY, RUGGIERO &	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
10th Floor ONE LANDMARK SQUARE STAMFORD, CT 06901-2682				TAI ER NOMBER	
			3765		
			DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/611,682	FALLA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gloria Hale	3765		

	Lammer	Ait oint	
	Gloria Hale	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo- places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	`.
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•	Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
		Star.)
		Gloria Hale	
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3765	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 13. Other: The present amendment does not clearly state that "the entire' periphery forms the entire periphery of the undergarment. That the cut finished edge forms the entire garment in a single piece laminated structure that is seamless. The use of "an" is different than "the" and additionally the claim must clearly describe the structure wherein the entire trimmed edge forms the entire garment edge and that the garment is of one piece and a seamless laminate. Therefore the Final Rejection stands.

GLORIA M HALE PRIMARY EXAMINED